

In the meanwhile, Government have issued orders on 12th May 1967 to withhold until further orders, elections to the marketing committees in the State. In view of the fact that the new Mysore Agricultural Produce Marketing Regulation, 1966 and the rules thereunder are shortly coming into force, except in places where prior calendar of events were already issued, elections to the marketing committees are not to be held.

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—Sir, I know that under the rule, no debate is permissible. But I want one clarification. If the Chair will permit me, I will ask one clarification.

Mr. SPEAKER.—This is a small matter, we need not violate the rule on this matter. After all, supplementary question or clarification on a calling attention motion is not normal. But still, I will permit the hon'ble member to put only one question.

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—I want one clarification. When this notice was given under rule 63, then the election had not taken place in these markets and I requested the Government not to hold elections under the old Act of 1959 which stands annulled under the new Act of 1966. The only thing is the Government has to issue a notification. The rules are already framed and objections were called for on March 15th. Now the anomalous position is, elections have already been held and no notification has been issued perhaps on account of this. Therefore, will the Government kindly examine and issue the notification immediately in places where elections have already been held in pursuance of the calendar they have issued? Or what do they propose to do in such cases where elections have already taken place?

Sri P.M. NADGOUDA.—The Government will examine this aspect of the matter.

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—The question is pending for the last three months.

Mr. SPEAKER.—It is a very deep question and it is being deeply and carefully examined.

ADJOURNMENT MOTION AND A QUESTION OF PRIVILEGE *re* : ARREST OF MEMBERS OF LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY.

Mr. SPEAKER.—I have received notice of adjournment motion from five Members, Sriyuths: C. Bangarappa, K. H. Patil, Vatal Nagaraj, M. Mallappa and N.G. Halappa. Now, I am prepared to hear any one of them, if they choose, or I am prepared to hear Sri Sivappa and not everybody.

† **Sri S. SIVAPPA.**—The adjournment motion reads like this :

“To move that this House be adjourned to discuss a definite matter of urgent public importance and of recent occurrence, to wit, the situation arising out of the arrest of two hon'ble

(SRI S. SIVAPPA)

Members of this House, along with many respectable citizens of this State, and the grave situation prevailing in the State arising out of the imposition of Prohibitory Orders in the City."

ಈ ನಮ್ಮ ತುರ್ತಾದ ನಿಲುವಳಿ ಸೂಚನೆಯನ್ನು ಮಂಡನೆ ಮಾಡತಕ್ಕ ಕಾಲದಲ್ಲಿ ನಾನು ಅವನರವಾಗಿ ಈ ನಿರ್ಣಯವನ್ನು ಏತಕ್ಕೋಸ್ಕರ ಮಂಡನೆ ಮಾಡುವುದು ಅವಶ್ಯಕವಾಯಿತು ಎಂಬ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಒಂದೆರಡು ವಿಷಯಗಳನ್ನು ಮಾತ್ರ ತಮ್ಮ ಅವಗಾಹನೆಗೆ ತರಲಿಚ್ಛಿಸುತ್ತೇನೆ. ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು ನಗರದಲ್ಲಿ ಶಾಸನ ಸಭೆ ಪ್ರಾರಂಭವಾಗತಕ್ಕ ಈ ದಿವಸ ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು ನಗರದ ಯಾವ ದಿಕ್ಕಿಗೆ ಹೋದರೂ ಈ ವಿಚಾರವೇ. ಇವತ್ತಿನ ದಿವಸ ನಮ್ಮ ಮೈಸೂರು ದೇಶದ ಇಬ್ಬರು ವಿಧಾನ ಸಭಾ ಸದಸ್ಯರನ್ನು ದಸ್ತಗಿರಿ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಈ ನಗರದಲ್ಲಿ ಪ್ರೊಹಿಬಿಟರಿ ಕಾನೂನನ್ನು ಜಾರಿಗೆ ತಂದು ಸಾಮಾನ್ಯ ಜನಜೀವನ ನಿರಾತಂಕವಾಗಿ ತಮ್ಮ ನಿತ್ಯ ಕೆಲಸಗಳನ್ನು ಮಾಡುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಆತಂಕವನ್ನು ತಂದು ನಮ್ಮ ಮೂಲಭೂತವಾದ ಹಕ್ಕುಗಳಿಗೆ ಧಕ್ಕೆ ಬರತಕ್ಕ ಸನ್ನಿವೇಶದಲ್ಲಿ ಈ ಒಂದು ನಿಲುವಳಿ ಸೂಚನೆಯನ್ನು ತಂದಿದ್ದೇವೆ. ನಮ್ಮ ದೇಶದ ನಾಗರಿಕರಿಗೆ ನಮ್ಮ ಮೂಲ ಭೂತವಾದ ಹಕ್ಕನ್ನು ದೊರಕಿಸಿಕೊಡುವ ಈ ಗಹನವಾದ, ತುರ್ತಾದ ವಿಚಾರವನ್ನು ಚರ್ಚಿಸಲು ಈ ಸಭೆಯ ಸ್ವಲ್ಪ ಕಾಲವನ್ನು ಕೊಟ್ಟು, ಇದನ್ನು ಚರ್ಚೆಮಾಡಿ ನಾವು ಒಳ್ಳೆಯ ಪ್ರಜಾಪ್ರಭುತ್ವ ಸಂಪ್ರದಾಯವನ್ನು ಸ್ಥಾಪನೆ ಮಾಡಬೇಕು ಮತ್ತು ಈ ಒಂದು ಚರ್ಚೆಗೆ ಅವಕಾಶ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಡ ಬೇಕೆಂದು ತಮ್ಮ ಗಮನವನ್ನು ಸೆಳೆಯಬಯಸುತ್ತೇನೆ. ಇದಕ್ಕೆ ತಾವು ಒಂದು ಅವಕಾಶವನ್ನು ಮಾಡಿಕೊಡಬೇಕೆಂದು ಪ್ರಾರ್ಥಿಸಿಕೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತೇನೆ.

Mr. SPEAKER.—The purpose for which adjournment motions are intended, is to afford scope for a debate to meet an unforeseen contingency, and when there will be no occasion for the House to deliberate upon it. Situations of this type are not arising for the first time before this Legislature. We have to remember that the business before the House is: the debate on Budget. Even in respect of that, it is a general discussion that is to take place. Every Member is fully aware that the scope of that debate is so wide that it is possible to bring in any important matter. In fact, it is stated that the whole policy of the Government and every facet of the Government, either under implementation or involving further implementation, can be taken up for discussion. Nothing has been said to show that this matter now covered by the Adjournment Motion, cannot be brought into the debate. There are rulings that have been given in several Legislatures that if there is an opportunity to discuss the matter almost immediately, not even immediately or in the near future, adjournment motion not admissible. In this particular case, it is seen that the business that will be entered into at the very first opportunity is the debate which can very well cover this subject. If there are any members who feel that they should participate or any of the persons who have given notice of, would like to participate, they can agree among themselves and they can mention to me. I will certainly give the fullest co-operation to the scope of the debate of the matter covered by this. I am therefore unable to admit this motion.

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA (Harihar).—Sir, may I make a representation? We hear arrest warrants are ready against some of the members

of the hon'ble House and that often they are shadowed and they go to the members' houses and try to find out where they are. Of course, Government have got the full gamut of the police with them and if they want to arrest anybody, let them say so in advance and take anybody into their custody. But, now we see what the Nazis did when they occupied some of the countries. We see as if there is an invasion of Bangalore. Wherever we go, we see khaki clad police officers. Is it a democratic government we have? We must be ashamed of this. Everybody feels that there is no rule of law but there is rule of the jungle prevailing in Bangalore to-day.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಶಿವಪ್ಪ.—ಸ್ವಾಮಿ, ಇವತ್ತಿನದವನ ಸುದರ್ಶನ ಅತಿಥಿಗೃಹದಲ್ಲಿ ಒಡಾಡುವುದಕ್ಕಾಗುತ್ತಿಲ್ಲ.....

MR. SPEAKER.—Order, order. I have said there is the debate on budget but everybody wants to get up and say something. If members want such things to go on, they may kindly burn the Rules. I do not want things to go on like this.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಶಿವಪ್ಪ.—ತಮ್ಮಲ್ಲಿ ಅಲ್ಲದೆ ಮತ್ತೆ ಬೇರೆ ಯಾರಲ್ಲಿ ಹೇಳಿಕೊಳ್ಳುವುದು? ಎಲ್ಲ ನೋಡಿದರೂ ಪೊಲೀಸರೇ ಇದ್ದಾರೆ.....

MR. SPEAKER.—Order, order.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಶಿವಪ್ಪ.—ನಮ್ಮ ಸುದರ್ಶನ ಗೆಸ್ತ್‌ಹೌಸಿನ ಹತ್ತಿರ ಪೊಲೀಸರು ರಾಟಹಿಡಿದು ಕೊಂಡು ಒಡಾಡುತ್ತಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಹಗಲು ರಾತ್ರಿ ಶಾಸಕರು ಒಡಾಡುವುದಕ್ಕೂ ಅವಕಾಶವಿಲ್ಲ. ಈ ವಿಚಾರವನ್ನು ತಮ್ಮಲ್ಲಿ ಅಲ್ಲದೆ ಯಾರಲ್ಲಿ ಹೇಳಬೇಕು? ನಾವು ಈಗ ಪ್ರಜಾಪ್ರಭುತ್ವದಲ್ಲಿದ್ದೇವೆ. ನವಾಧಿಕಾರ ಬೇಕಾದರೆ ಮಾಡಿ, ನಾನು ಒಪ್ಪಿಕೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತೇನೆ. ಪ್ರಜಾಪ್ರಭುತ್ವದ ಹೆಸರಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಇಂತಹ ಒಂದು ನಿರಂಕುಶ ಆಡಳಿತ ನಡೆಯುತ್ತಿದೆ. ಈ ಪೊಲೀಸರ ಕಾಟದಿಂದ ಮರೆಯದವರು ರಸ್ತೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಒಡಾಡುವಂತಿಲ್ಲ. ಶಾಸನಸಭೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಈ ವಿಚಾರ ಹೇಳದೆ ಮತ್ತೆಲ್ಲ ಹೇಳಬೇಕು? ಬ್ರಿಟಿಷರ ಕಾಲದಲ್ಲೂ ನಹ ಇಂತಹ ಪರಿಸ್ಥಿತಿ ಇರಲಿಲ್ಲ. ತಾವು ನಹ ಜೈಲಿಗೆ ಹೋಗಿದ್ದೀರಿ.....

MR. SPEAKER.—Order, order.....

ಶ್ರೀ ವಾಚಾಸ್ ನಾಗರಾಜ್ (ಚಿಕ್ಕಪೇಟೆ).—ಒಂದು ವಿಚಾರವನ್ನು ತಮ್ಮ ಮೂಲಕ ಪ್ರಾರ್ಥನೆ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತಿದ್ದೇನೆ, ಇಂದು ಬೆಂಗಳೂರಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಎರಡು ಭಾಗದಲ್ಲೂ ಪೊಲೀಸರು ಒಡಾಡುತ್ತಿದ್ದಾರೆ ಇದು ಏನು ಪೊಲೀಸ್ ರಾಜ್ಯವೇ.....

MR. SPEAKER.—Order, order. Hon'ble Member may resume his seat.

(Sri Vatal Nagaraj * * * * *)

Order, order. The reporters need not take down anything that he says.

I am asking the members repeatedly; are we here to be dictated by any individual member? I will ask him to withdraw from the House. I know, his thoughts are bubbling but he must hear others. Till I call upon the hon'ble Member, he should not speak. I will not press for his retirement immediately. If he gets up again before I call him, the only thing that I can do is to ask him kindly to retire.

Now the privilege motion given notice of by Sri Ranganath.

Sri K. H. RANGANATH (Mudigere).—Sir, I have a notice of privilege under rule 77 in respect of the arrest of Sri T. R. Shamanna and Sri M.S. Krishnan who are hon'ble members of this House, on 23-6-1967. Thus, the Government have prevented them from participating in the proceedings of this House and contributing their suggestions. In fact, as I have read in the papers, they have been taken into custody.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Is the member sure that it is a question of privilege? Has he studied the matter? I have my own doubts. If he has studied, I am prepared to hear now alone; if he has not, we shall take it up tomorrow.

Sri K. H. RANGANATH.—I have sent it for the consideration of this House.

Mr. SPEAKER.—I know it. But, before it can come before the House there is a certain procedure to be followed. Therefore, I am asking if the member has studied it very carefully; otherwise, he may took into it in greater detail. I will call upon the Government also to say what they have to say in the matter.

Sri S. R. KANTHI (Minister for Law).—I do not think that any privilege is involved in it. If the member says that it may be referred to the Privileges Committee, Government have no objection.

Sri K. H. RANGANATH.—Sir, it may kindly be taken up tomorrow.

Mr. SPEAKER.—All right.

Sri H. M. CHANNABASAPPA (Periyapatna).—Sir, hon'ble members of this House have certain privileges and if they are put to certain inconveniences by the conduct of the Government.....

Mr. SPEAKER.—Rules!

Sri H. M. CHANNABASAPPA.—I understand. I would like to know, when exactly hon'ble members of this House have got to make any submission to you if they are ill treated in a way not befitting the dignity and decorum of democracy?

Mr. SPEAKER.—I am glad that Sri Channabasappa has raised this point. Sri Channabasappa knows parliamentary procedure perhaps better than me. He has been in the House and is familiar with parliamentary practice long before I entered into politics because I have known him when I was far far away from these things. But, I must say, I differ from him. Rules do provide when members' privileges are involved; there is procedure as Sri Ranganath has done. To make representation, the floor of the House is not the place. He may make a request in writing; nothing prevents hon'ble members from doing so. It must come to the Speaker before he goes to the dais. I was waiting for him for 25 minutes. It is highly irregular for a member to raise anything which is uncovered by the List of Business or the Agenda, however important it may be, because I cannot take any decisions on a simple representation. I cannot allow a debate ignoring the agenda.

Sri K. H. RANGANATH.—In the meanwhile I want to bring to your notice that we are not able to move about, in our guest house.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Hon'ble member may kindly come and meet me at 3 O'clock.

Then, there is a Call Attention by Sri Vatal Nagaraj. So far as this is concerned, it is weaker than the adjournment motion. It is regarding the arrest of Sri T. R. Shamanna and Sri M. S. Krishnan. The fact of arrest, I have already communicated to the House. So, the House is aware. If there is anything else, it is a point for debate which can be taken up in the course of the budget discussion. Hence I am unable to admit it and I am disallowing it.

QUESTION OF PRIVILEGE *re*: PRESS STATEMENT OF THE MINISTER FOR INFORMATION ON THE BUDGET.

Mr. SPEAKER.—I suppose there is another matter.

† **Sri C. K. RAJIAH SETTY** (Chicknaikanahalli).—Sir, under rule 177 of the Rules of Procedure and Conduct of Business in the Assembly I have sent this privilege motion. On 22nd June 1967 the Minister for Information has revealed some figures of the recast budget. You are aware that the Chief Minister announced in the last session that the entire budget has to be recast because we are getting less grant from the Central Government and the House itself was adjourned for that reason. Now, when the budget is recast it has to be placed before this House for discussion. But the Minister for Information about four days back has held a Press Conference and revealed the entire figures. It is like this : "The Mysore Cabinet on Wednesday decided that the total out in the expenditure of Rs. 271.7 lakhs with a view to mopping up resources to increase current year's plan allocation." etc. Apart from this, he has stated in what departments the cut is applied. Whether it is recast budget or budget, it is all the same. It is the privilege of this House to consider it before it goes to the Press. It is highly irregular and the very purpose of our meeting is not served. It is unfortunate how this was discussed in the Press before the House could have the opportunity to do so. The correct thing ought to have been to place the recast budget before the House.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Before the hon. member proceeds further I would like to know whether he means budget leakage is a breach of privilege? I can say it may be anything but not a breach of privilege.

Sri C. K. RAJIAH SETTY.—Even in the Lok Sabha there are many instances where budget is not at all revealed before it is presented to the House. If it is revealed, it is a breach of privilege. As per the Rules of Procedure, budget will be presented only once. This time it has been put